

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/622,381	08/16/2000	Italo Corzani	CM1709	5312
27752	7590 05/23/2003			
THE PROCTER & GAMBLE COMPANY INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DIVISION WINTON HILL TECHNICAL CENTER - BOX 161			EXAMINER	
			WEBB, JAMISUE A	
	6110 CENTER HILL AVENUE CINCINNATI, OH 45224		ART UNIT .	PAPER NUMBER
			· 3761	15
		•	DATE MAILED: 05/23/2003	•

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		ے ع			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/622,381	CORZANI, ITALO			
	Examiner	Art Unit			
The MALLING DATE ALL:	Jamisue A. Webb	3761			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
THE REPLY FILED 12 May 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THI Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	Void abandonment of this applica	ation. A proper reply to a			
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]				
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailin b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the content of	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CFI of extension and the corresponding amount of the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the state.	g date of the final rejection.  E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP  R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension unt of the fee. The appropriate extension			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of	riod set forth in f the appeal.			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be					
(a) ⊠ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);					
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);					
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or					
(d) they present additional claims without canceling	ng a corresponding number of fir	nally rejected claims.			
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.	-	, ,			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).					
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u>					
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.					
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(sexplanation of how the new or amended claims working)	s) a) $igtimes$ will not be entered or b) $igl[$ uld be rejected is provided belov	☐ will be entered and an v or appended.			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		, ,			
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,3,7-11 and 15-22</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a	) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disappro	oved by the Examiner.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement	(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s).				
10. Other:	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	MELLINIO			
	S	SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700			

Applicati

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The amendment adds the dopantis present between 1-1000 parts dopant per million parts adsorbent material. The concentration of the dopant was never claimed previously, therefore would cause further search and consideration.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The applicant is arguing the rejection based on the fact that the prior art does not contain the concentration of 1-1000 parts dopant per million parts adsorbent material. This limitation is not being entered, as stated above, therefore arguments are not persuasive and rejections stand as in the Final Office action.